IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1045 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.962 OF 2006

Raja s/o. Sanchit @ Dhansingh Yadav. ..Applicant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP.for the Respondent.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATE : 07th March, 2007

P.C.

1. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Criminal appeal which has been sent through the Jail by the convict who is undergoing sentence for the offence punishable under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code in Sessions Case No. 147 of 2005 decided by the learned Sessions Judge, Raigad on 21/1/2006.

2. As per the office note, the appeal is beyond time by 124 days and hence, this application for condonation of delay.

3. Delay condoned and the application is allowed accordingly.

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.962 OF 2006

Raja s/o.Sanchit @ Dhansingh Yadav. ..Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP.for the Respondent.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATE : 07th March, 2007

P.C.

1. Appeal is admitted.

2. Mr. Saste, the learned A.P.P. appears for the State.

3. The Registrar (Judicial) is directed to appoint an advocate for the appellant from the panel prepared and communicate the same to the appellant in the jail concerned forthwith.

4. Communication shall be in Marathi.

5. Paper book expedited.

6. Registry to take all the required steps.

(R.S. MOHITE, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**